



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,726	02/14/2001	Mihal Lazaridis	555255012190	7167

7590 06/17/2005

David B. Cochran, Esq.
Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue
901 Lakeside Avenue, North Point
Cleveland, OH 44114

EXAMINER

EDELMAN, BRADLEY E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2153

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/783,726	Applicant(s) LAZARIDIS ET AL.	
	Examiner Bradley Edelman	Art Unit 2153	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Bradley Edelman (Primary Examiner). (3) David Cochran (Applicant's representative).
 (2) Sean Reilly (Examiner). (4) Brook Hayes (Applicant's representative).

Date of Interview: 14 June 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 86.

Identification of prior art discussed: Motorola AirMobile Client & Server Communication Guide.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In depth discussion of the differences between the AirMobile polling redirection system and the claimed continuous "push" e-mail system. Examiner agreed that the Motorola reference alone does not read on the claims as presently amended. Examiner will conduct a new search and consideration of the present claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Handwritten mark